PHYS 139/239: Machine Learning in Physics Lecture 14: More Autoencoders

Javier Duarte – February 23, 2023



Recap: Types of learning

- Supervised learning: labels known for each data sample
- Unsupervised learning: only features known; no labels!
- Weakly supervised learning: features paired with noisy labels
- Semi-supervised learning: features paired with partial (incomplete) labels
- •

Classification witout labels (CWoLA)

- Classification without labels (CWoLA): <u>https</u> <u>arxiv.org/abs/1708.02949</u>
- Example of a weakly supervised framework
- Useful when you know you can isolate two samples with different fractions of signal an background
- Quite robust: works even if you don't exactly know the fractions!
- Limitation: performs drops off rapidly near $f_1 = f_2 = 0.5$
 - i.e. when the two samples don't actually have different fractions!



Recap: Autoencoders

- Feedforward neural networks with same input and output shapes
 - similar)



• Goal: reconstruct original input by minimizing mean-squared error loss (or

Example: fashion MNIST

• Autoencoder in Keras:

https://rittikghosh.com/autoencoder.html

Original



Autoencoder



PCA





Example: fashion MNIST

• Autoencoder in Keras:

```
m = Sequential()
m.add(Dense(1000, activation='relu',
            input_shape=(784,)))
m.add(Dense(500, activation='relu'))
m_add(Dense(250, activation='relu'))
m_add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
m.add(Dense(2, activation='linear',
            name="bottleneck"))
m.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
m.add(Dense(250, activation='relu'))
m.add(Dense(500, activation='relu'))
m.add(Dense(1000, activation='relu'))
m.add(Dense(784, activation='sigmoid'))
```

https://rittikghosh.com/autoencoder.html

Autoencoder

PCA



Application: outlier (anomaly) detection

- Autoencoders compress data and then uncompress it
 - performance)
 - If x is far from AE(x) = Decoder(Encoder(x)), then x has low $p_{bkgd}(x)$
- Directly use "reconstruction loss" L(x, AE(x)) as an anomaly score



Credit: B. Nachman https://indico.cern.ch/event/1188153/

36 Autoencoder is trained on background (and has good reconstruction



Denoising autoencoers

- when the latent space is overcomplete
- Denoising autoencoders (Vincent et al. 2008) corrupt the input by adding noise or masking values stochastically then the model is trained to recover the original input (not the corrupted one)



• We are at risk of "overfitting" (or simply learning the as useful identity function)



- Variational autoencoder or VAEs (Kingma & Welling, 2014) rooted in variational Bayesian methods
- Instead of mapping the input into a *fixed* vector, we want to map it into a distribution p_{θ} parameterized by θ
- Input data x and encoding vector z are related by
 - Prior $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z})$
 - Likelihood $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Z})$
 - Posterior $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{X})$

- Given θ , we can generate a sample like a real data point by following
 - 1. Sample $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}$ from a prior distribution $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$
 - 2. Generate a value $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ from the conditional distribution $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}^{(i)})$
- The optimal parameter θ^* maximizes the probability of generating real data samples or minimizes the loss:

$$\theta^* = \arg\min_{\theta} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right)$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \int p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \mathbf{z}) p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}$$

Graphical model

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline & p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}) \\ \hline & \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \end{array}$$

- Not easy to compute $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$ because of integration over all values of \mathbf{z}
- code given an input **x**, $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})$ parametrized by ϕ
 - probabilistic decoder
 - Approximation function $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})$ is the probabilistic encoder



• To narrow down the space, introduce approximation function to output a likely

• Conditional probability $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})$ defines a generative model, known as the

VAE loss function: ELBO

- Estimated posterior $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})$ should be close to real one $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})$
- Use Kullback-Leilbler (KL) divergence $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) || p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}))$ to quantify distance

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} \,|\, \mathbf{x}) \| p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} \,|\, \mathbf{x})) = \int q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} \,|\, \mathbf{x}) \log q_{\phi}(\mathbf$$

• Total loss:

 $L = -\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) + D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) || p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}))$

samples



Known as evidence lower bound (ELBO) because by minimizing the loss, we are maximizing the lower bound of the probability of generating real data

Reparametrization trick







[Kingma, 2013] [Bengio, 2013] [Kingma and Welling 2014] [Rezende et al 2014]



Implementation

- Implementation in Keras for handwritten MNIST digits: <u>https://keras.io/</u> <u>examples/generative/vae/</u>
- Two-dimensional (probabilistic) latent space





Next time

Model compression